Τ.	THE COURT: LET'S SEE IF MR. HOFF CAN HE BE
2	EXCUSED?
3	MR. SAMUEL: I'M DONE WITH MR. HUFF.
4	MR. JOHNSON: YES, MA'AM.
5	THE COURT: YOU MAY BE EXCUSED, MR. HUFF, AND WE'LL
6	TAKE FIVE MINUTES.
7	(WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
8	THE COURT: OKAY. THE RULE OF SEQUESTRATION IS
9	INVOKED. ANYONE WHO IS A WITNESS TO BE CALLED IN THIS
10	HEARING SHOULD STEP OUT OF THE COURTROOM.
11	CARTER JACKSON,
12	HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
13	THE BAILIFF: STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE
14	RECORD.
15	THE WITNESS: CARTER JACKSON.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. SAMUEL:
18	Q ARE YOU CURRENTLY EMPLOYED?
19	A I'M RETIRED.
20	Q CONGRATULATIONS. WHERE WERE YOU EMPLOYED MOST
21	RECENTLY?
22	A MY LAST EMPLOYER WAS THE FULTON COUNTY DISTRICT
23	ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
24	Q AND YOU WERE AN INVESTIGATOR WITH THE D.A.'S OFFICE?
25	A I WAS.

1	Q AND INTOK TO THEIR.
2	A I WAS WITH THE ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT AS A SWORN
3	POLICE OFFICER.
4	Q WHEN DID YOU COME OVER TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE?
5	A I BELIEVE IT WAS FEBRUARY OR MARCH OF 2002.
6	Q AND AT THAT POINT DID YOU WORK WITH THE COLD CASE
7	SQUAD?
8	A I DID.
9	Q DID YOU BEGIN WORKING ON THE CASE OF STATE OF GEORGIA
10	VERSUS SCOTT DAVIS?
12	A WELL, AT THE TIME IT WAS THE INVESTIGATION INTO THE
12	MURDER OF MR. COFFIN.
13	Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT I'VE MARKED AS DEFENDANT'S
14	EXHIBIT 12, WHICH FROM THE PRIOR PROCEEDINGS WAS BATES STAMPED
15	876, 878, 879, 882, AND 1162. DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO LOOK AT
16	THOSE DOCUMENTS PRIOR TO GETTING ON THE STAND HERE THIS
17	MORNING?
18	A YES, SIR, I DID.
19	Q DID YOU, IN FACT, AUTHOR THE DOCUMENTS REQUESTING
20	FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS?
21	A I DID.
22	Q THAT'S YOUR HANDWRITING ON THE DOCUMENTS?
23	A YES, IT IS.
24	Q NOW, PUTTING BEFORE YOU DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 12, ARE
25	THOSE, IN FACT, RECORDS THAT YOU AUTHORIZED?

2	MR. SAMUEL: YOUR HONOR, I'LL TENDER EXHIBIT 12
3	BEFORE I ASK A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT IT.
4	THE COURT: STATE?
5	MS. HILL: NO OBJECTION.
6	MR. JOHNSON: NO OBJECTION.
7	THE COURT: THEY ARE ADMITTED.
8	BY MR. SAMUEL:
9	Q BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT THE
10	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE FIRST PAGE, BATES STAMPED 876, IS?
11	A IT'S A REQUEST TO THE GEORGIA BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
12	FOR FINGERPRINT ANALYSIS.
13	Q AND DO YOU RECALL TODAY WHAT ANALYSIS YOU WERE DOING?
14	A WELL, I SEE IT'S OUR CASE OF DAVID COFFIN AS THE
15	VICTIM, AND IT STATES DOWN HERE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE
16	ITEMS, FINGERPRINT CARD, AND THE REQUEST IS FOR ELIMINATION,
17	AND I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY WHAT WAS BEING ELIMINATED.
18	Q DO YOU SEE, AS WE GO BACK IN THE PAGES, THAT THERE
19	WAS A LETTER THAT HAD BEEN TYPED AND THERE IS A SIGNATURE BY
20	THE VICTIM, DAVID COFFIN, JR., THIRD PAGE BACK IN THE EXHIBIT?
21	A YES.
22	Q AND AM I RIGHT I'M GOING TO LEAD A LITTLE BIT,
23	YOUR HONOR, TO SEE IF I CAN JOG SOME MEMORY HERE, IF I CAN.
24	AT THIS POINT YOU WERE TRYING WHAT AN ELIMINATION
25	PRINT MEANS IS THAT YOU WERE TRYING TO DETERMINE WHETHER A

1 A YES, THEY ARE.

LATENT PRINT WHICH YOU HAD, MEANING AN UNIDENTIFIED

FINGERPRINT, MATCHED SOMEONE ELSE WHO YOU DID KNOW, AND THE

PURPOSE OF AN ELIMINATION IS TO GET CERTAIN PEOPLE TO COMPARE

WITH THE UNKNOWN?

A WELL, THAT'S CORRECT, YES.

- Q THE UNKNOWN PRINT WAS THE PRINT TAKEN FROM THE PORSCHE THAT WAS FOUND IN DEKALB COUNTY. THAT'S THE ONLY FINGERPRINT IN THIS CASE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT. THE ONLY FINGERPRINT IN THIS CASE WAS TAKEN, LATENT PRINT, WAS FROM THE PORSCHE. THERE WAS AN UNKNOWN FINGERPRINT ON THE PORSCHE. AM I RIGHT?
- A YOU KNOW, I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE RIGHT.

  THERE WAS AN INSTANCE, IT INDICATES HERE ON THE SECOND PAGE,

  ABOUT A LETTER, RECOVERED FINGERPRINTS. I'M NOT SURE THAT I

  EVER HAD ACCESS TO ANY FINGERPRINTS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN

  RECOVERED FROM THE PORSCHE FOR COMPARISON PURPOSES OR ANY OTHER

  REASON.
- Q LET ME SEE IF I CAN JOG YOUR MEMORY. THE REASON YOU SUBMITTED THIS LETTER WAS, IF IT HAD THE PRINTS, IF IT HAD THE PRINTS OF DAVID COFFIN, JR. AND DAVID COFFIN, SR., THE ONLY TWO PEOPLE WHO WOULD HAVE HAD THE LETTER, ONE WRITING TO THE OTHER, YOU COULD HAVE COMPARED THOSE WHAT WOULD BE ARGUABLY KNOWN PRINTS TO THE CAR. SENIOR OBVIOUSLY DIDN'T HAVE ACCESS TO THE CAR. SO SENIOR YOU WANTED TO GET TO DETERMINE --

MR. JOHNSON: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT. IS THIS A

QUESTION, OR WHERE ARE WE GOING?

MR. SAMUEL: THERE'S NO JURY HERE. I'M JUST TRYING
TO HELP HIS MEMORY. IF HE SAYS, I DON'T REMEMBER, THAT'S
THE END OF IT.

THE COURT: YOU MAY CONTINUE.

MR. SAMUEL: HE'S NOT GOING TO SAY SOMETHING THAT'S UNTRUE BASED ON MY QUESTION.

MR. JOHNSON: OH, NO. I JUST WANTED TO GET TO THE POINT.

## BY MR. SAMUEL:

- Q WHAT OTHER FINGERPRINT WERE YOU TRYING TO ANALYZE BY GETTING THE KNOWN PRINT FROM THIS LETTER?
- A WELL, WE WERE TRYING TO ELIMINATE SOME PRINTS OFF OF

  A NOTE THAT WAS RECOVERED, AND, AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE

  ASKING. I SIMPLY DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF EVER HAVING

  ACCESS TO ANY FINGERPRINTS FROM THE PORSCHE.
- Q DO YOU RECALL, WHEN YOU SENT THIS LETTER TO THE CRIME LAB, WHY WERE YOU TRYING TO DETERMINE -- WHAT WERE YOU GOING TO COMPARE THE PRINTS TO FROM THAT?
- A I'D LIKE TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION. ONE OF YOUR ASSOCIATES CONTACTED ME BY TELEPHONE, AND HE ASKED ME A SIMILAR QUESTION, AND I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT I SIMPLY DID NOT HAVE A RECOLLECTION OF WHAT HE WAS MAKING REFERENCE TO, AND I REFERRED HIM TO A COPY OF THE FILE, IN WHICH CASE THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN SOME DOCUMENTATION AS TO WHY I TOOK THE ACTIONS THAT I DID. I

2	ASKING. I SIMPLY DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION.
3	Q HOW ABOUT TAKING A LOOK AT THE LAST PIECE OF PAPER
4	WHICH HAS BATES STAMP 882.
5	A YES, I'VE READ IT.
6	Q YOU WROTE THIS LETTER?
7	A YES, I DID.
8	Q IN DECEMBER OF 2004?
9	A YES.
10	Q THIS IS YOUR SIGNATURE?
11	A IT IS.
12	Q AND IT'S IN EVIDENCE ALREADY. DOES IT JOG YOUR
13	MEMORY?
14	A WELL, IT JOGS MY MEMORY AS TO MY HAVING WRITTEN THE
15	NOTE, AND I SEE WHAT IT MAKES REFERENCE TO.
16	Q AND THAT IS?
17	A IT MAKES REFERENCE TO ASKING FOR SOME FINGERPRINTS
18	FROM MR. DAVID COFFIN, SR.
19	Q IN ORDER TO?
20	A IN ORDER TO ELIMINATE AS APPROPRIATE FINGERPRINTS
21	RECOVERED FROM THE VICTIM'S STOLEN AUTOMOBILE.
22	Q NOW, AGAIN MR. COFFIN, SR., AS I SAID, NEVER HAD
23	ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE, AND CERTAINLY NOBODY THOUGHT HIS
24	PRINTS WERE ON THE CAR. BUT IT HAD TO BE ELIMINATED FROM THE
25	LETTER, SO THAT THE ONLY REMAINING PRINTS ON THE LETTER WOULD

SIMPLY DON'T HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION. I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE

1	BE COFFIN, JR., AND THAT WOULD BE WHAT WOULD BE COMPARED TO TH
2	PORSCHE AND THE CAR, THE PRINT ON THE CAR?
3	A THAT'S CORRECT.
4	Q WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME THEN TAKING A LONG TIME T
5	GET TO THE POINT, YOUR HONOR, AND I APOLOGIZE THAT ON
6	DECEMBER 8TH OF 2004, YOU STILL HAD THE LATENT PRINTS FROM THE
7	PORSCHE?
8	A PRESUMABLY, BASED ON THIS LETTER, YES.
9	Q BECAUSE, OTHERWISE, THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN NO POINT I
LO	GETTING ANYBODY'S PRINTS TO COMPARE IT WITH, BECAUSE IF THE
L1	LATENTS ARE MISSING, THE KNOWNS CAN'T BE COMPARED TO ANYTHING?
L2	A THAT'S CORRECT.
L3	MR. SAMUEL: THAT'S ALL I HAVE, YOUR HONOR.
L4	MR. JOHNSON: NO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: MAY HE BE EXCUSED?
16	MR. JOHNSON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
L 7	THE COURT: YOU MAY BE EXCUSED, MR. JACKSON. THANK
18	YOU.
19	MR. SAMUEL: DETECTIVE JACKSON, WOULD YOU ASK
20	DETECTIVE CHAMBERS TO COME IN?
21	RICKEY CHAMBERS,
22	HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
23	THE BAILIFF: STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
24	THE WITNESS: RICKEY CHAMBERS.
25	/ / /